

I'm not a bot













"Not pregnant" has removed the need for users to interpret the result, removing the need to interpret as a cause of incorrect results. In-home pregnancy testing made accurate and reliable results are within reach. 1. Richtlinie 98/79/EG des Europäischen Parlaments und Rates vom 27. Oktober 1998 über In-vitro-Diagnostika, Anhang III 2. Oko-Test, Ratgeber Gesundheit und Fitness 8/2008 3. Birken S, Berger P, Bidart J-M, et al. Preparation and characterisation of new WHO standards for human chorionic gonadotropin and metabolites. *Clin Chem*. 2003;49:144-154. doi: 10.1373/49.1.144. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 4. Bristow A, Berger P, Bidart J, et al. Establishment, value assignment, and characterization of new WHO reference reagents for six molecular forms of human chorionic gonadotropin. *Clin Chem*. 2005;51:177-182. doi: 10.1373/clinchem.2004.038679. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 5. Sturgeon C M, Berger P, Bidart J, et al. Differences in recognition of the 1st WHO international reference reagents for hCG-related isoforms by diagnostic immunoassays for human chorionic gonadotropin. *Clin Chem*. 2009;55:1484-1491. doi: 10.1373/clinchem.2009.124578. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 6. Alfthan H, Stenman U-H. Pregnancy serum contains the core fragment of human chorionic gonadotropin. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 1990;70:783-787. doi: 10.1210/jcem-70-3-783. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 7. Cole L A, Kardana A, Ying F C, et al. The biological and clinical significance of nicks in human chorionic gonadotropin and its free beta-subunit. *Yale J Biol Med*. 1991;64:627-637. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 8. McChesney R, Wilcox A J, O'Connor J F, et al. Intact HCG, free HCG beta subunit and HCG beta core fragment: longitudinal patterns in urine during early pregnancy. *Hum Reprod*. 2005;20:928-935. doi: 10.1093/humrep/deh702. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 9. Kovalevskaya G, Birken S, Kakuma T, et al. Early pregnancy human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) isoforms measured by an immunometric assay for choriocarcinoma-like hCG. *J Endocrinol*. 1999;161:99-106. doi: 10.1677/joe.0.1610099. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 10. Kovalevskaya G, Kakuma T, Schlatterer J, et al. Hyperglycosylated hCG expression in pregnancy: cellular origin and clinical applications. *Mol Cell Endocrinol*. 2007;260:237-243. doi: 10.1016/j.mce.2006.02.021. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 11. Butler S A, Khanlian S A, Cole L A. Detection of early pregnancy forms of human chorionic gonadotropin by home pregnancy test devices. *Clin Chem*. 2001;47:2131-2136. [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 12. Whittington J, Fantz C R, Gronowski A M, et al. The analytical specificity of human chorionic gonadotropin assays determined using WHO International Reference Reagents. *Clin Chim Acta*. 2010;411:81-85. doi: 10.1016/j.cca.2009.10.009. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 13. Stewart B K, Nazar-Stewart V, Tiivola B. Biochemical discrimination of pathologic pregnancy from early, normal intrauterine gestation in symptomatic patients. *Am J Clin Pathol*. 1995;103:386-390. doi: 10.1093/ajcp/103.4.386. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 14. Zegers-Hochschild F, Altieri E, Fabres C, et al. Predictive value of human chorionic gonadotropin in the outcome of early pregnancy after in-vitro fertilization and spontaneous conception. *Hum Rep*. 1994;9:1550-1555. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.humrep.a138747. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 15. Barnhart K T, Sammel M D, Rinaudo P F, et al. Symptomatic patients with an early viable intrauterine pregnancy: hCG curves redefined. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2004;104:50-55. doi: 10.1097/01.AOG.0000128174.48843.12. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 16. Norman R J, Menabawey M, Lowings C, et al. Relationship between blood and urinary concentrations of intact human chorionic gonadotropin and its free subunits in early pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol*. 1987;69:590-593. [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 17. Johnson S R, Miro F, Barrett S, et al. Levels of urinary human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) following conception and variability of menstrual cycle length in a cohort of women attempting to conceive. *Curr Med Res Opin*. 2009;25:741-748. doi: 10.1185/03007990902743935. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 18. Larsen J, Buchanan P, Johnson S, et al. Human chorionic gonadotropin as a measure of pregnancy duration. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. 2013;123:189-195. doi: 10.1016/j.ijgo.2013.05.028. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 19. Eskild A, Fedorcsak P, Mørkrid L, et al. Maternal body mass index and serum concentrations of human chorionic gonadotropin in very early pregnancy. *Fertil Steril*. 2012;98:905-910. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2012.06.011. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 20. Lenton E A, Landgren B M, Sexton L, et al. Normal variation in the length of the follicular phase of the menstrual cycle: effect of chronological age. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol*. 1984;91:681-684. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-0528.1984.tb04830.x. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 21. Creinin M D, Keverline S, Meyn L A. How regular is regular? An analysis of menstrual cycle regularity. *Contraception*. 2004;70:289-292. doi: 10.1016/j.contraception.2004.04.012. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 22. Geirsson R T, Busby-Earle R M. Certain dates may not provide a reliable estimate of gestational age. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol*. 1991;98:108-109. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-0528.1991.tb10323.x. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 23. Waller D K, Spears W D, Gu Y, et al. Assessing numbers-specific error in the recall of onset of last menstrual period. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol*. 2000;14:262-267. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-3016.2000.00275.x. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 24. Haarbarger D, Pillay T S. Historical perspectives in diagnostic clinical pathology: development of the pregnancy test. *J Clin Pathol*. 2011;64:546-548. doi: 10.1136/jcp.2011.090332. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 25. Wide L, Gemzell C A. An immunological pregnancy test. *Acta Endocrinol (Copenh)* 1960;35:261-267. doi: 10.1530/acta.0.xcv0261. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 26. Sclaro K L, Braxton-Lloyd K, Helms K L. Devices for home evaluation of women's health concerns. *Am J Health Syst Pharm*. 2008;65:299-314. doi: 10.2146/ajhp060565. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 27. Johnson S R, Godbert S, Perry P, et al. Accuracy of a home-based device for giving an early estimate of pregnancy duration compared with reference methods. *Fertil Steril*. 2013;100:1635-1641. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2013.08.031. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 28. Johnson S, Shaw R, Parkinson P. Home pregnancy test compared to standard-of-care ultrasound dating in the assessment of pregnancy duration. *Curr Med Res Opin*. 2011;27:393-401. doi: 10.1185/03007995.2010.545378. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 29. Mustafa G, David R J. Comparative accuracy of clinical estimate versus menstrual gestational age in computerized birth certificates. *Public Health Rep*. 2001;116:15-21. doi: 10.1093/phr/116.1.15. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 30. Johnson S, Godbert S. Comparison of home pregnancy test with weeks estimator and ultrasound crown rump measurement to predict delivery date. *Fertil Steril*. 2013;100(3 Suppl.):S330. [Google Scholar] 31. Cole L A, Khanlian S, Sutton J, et al. Accuracy of home pregnancy tests at the time of missed menses. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2004;190:100-103. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2003.08.043. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 32. Bastian L A, Nanda K, Hasselblad V, et al. Diagnostic efficiency of home pregnancy test kits: a meta-analysis. *Arch Fam Med*. 1998;7:465-469. doi: 10.1001/archfam.7.5.465. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 33. Daviaud J, Fournet D, Ballouque C, et al. Reliability and feasibility of home-use tests: laboratory validation and diagnostic evaluation by 638 volunteers. *Clin Chem*. 1993;39:53-59. [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 34. Wallace L S, Zite N B, Homewood V J. Making sense of home pregnancy test instructions. *J Womens Health*. 2009;18:363-368. doi: 10.1089/jwh.2008.0985. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 35. Tomlinson C, Marshall J, Ellis J E. Comparison of accuracy and certainty of results of six home pregnancy tests available over-the-counter. *Curr Med Res Opin*. 2008;24:1645-1649. doi: 10.1185/03007990802120572. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 36. Pike J, Godbert S, Johnson S. Comparison of volunteers' experience of using, and accuracy of reading, different types of home pregnancy test formats. *Expert Opin Med Diagn*. 2013;7:435-441. doi: 10.1517/17530059.2013.830103. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 37. Geirsson R T. Ultrasound instead of last menstrual period as the basis of gestational age assignment. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol*. 1991;1:212-219. doi: 10.1046/j.1469-0705.1991.01030212.x. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 38. Wilcox A J, Baird D D, Weinberg C R. Time of implantation of the conceptus and loss of pregnancy. *N Engl J Med*. 1999;340:1796-1799. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199906103402304. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 39. Gronowski A M, Cervinski M, Stenman U, et al. False-negative results in point-of-care qualitative human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) devices due to excess hCG-beta core fragment. *Clin Chem*. 2009;55:1389-1394. doi: 10.1373/clinchem.2008.121210. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 40. Snyder J A, Haymond S, Parvin C A, et al. Diagnostic considerations in the measurement of human chorionic gonadotropin in aging women. *Clin Chem*. 2005;51:1830-1835. doi: 10.1373/clinchem.2005.053595. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] Articles from Geburtshilfe und Frauenheilkunde are provided here courtesy of Thieme Medical Publishers